Request for Offers (RFO) Addendum

RFO Number: ____RFO0111_____

Addendum Number: ___2____

Date of Addendum: __2/23/2016____

Original Due Date, Time: __2/29/2016, 4:30 PM CST_____

Revised Date, Time (if changing): _N/A___

Title: _Application Access Controls for MDH systems and data_____

SCOPE OF ADDENDUM

Posting additional questions and answers on the RFO.

Any chance of the contract going past 3 months.   Yes

4 years' experience working on IT security controls projects in environments that use the following technologies: Oracle, MS-SQL, Active Directory, Java, Javascript, Linux, Windows server 2012, Windows 7, TCPIP. Of these, what is most important? To be successful in our environment they will have to have knowledge of all of these.

Will the candidates be selected as a team, or individually? A Team

Will you require one vendor to provide both candidates or will you be picking and choosing the best from each vendor?   One Vendor

Page 1 project deliverables bullet 2: They mention MN.IT Enterprise Security Control Standard and data classification. Is it possible to get a copy of these standards? Yes. I can send you the Access Management Security Standard.

Page 1 project deliverables bullet 3: How many applications are in scope for the access control, procedure remediation plan? Approximately 160 but many have the same access methods.

Page 1 project deliverables bullet 4: Regarding Network access controls; are these defined to be physical network devices and the access to those devices or are we talking about network file shares or central data repositories for user access to data? Access to our network via Active Directory.

Page 2 project deliverables 4th bullet from the top: Applications that can be remediated and meet the criteria to migrate to Keycloak Authentication server; are you looking for the level of effort to include the migration of the application to this solution or just a qualification chart which apps can or cannot be migrated? Just qualification for migration.

Page 2 Project Milestones and schedule: Are there any drivers outside of this RFO that require this project be completed by 6/30/2016? Just want to understand the reason for the timeline is another initiative dependency or just a personal goal to MN.IT. No.

This addendum shall become part of the RFO and should be returned with, or acknowledged in, the response to the RFO.

RESPONDER NAME:

SIGNATURE:

TITLE:

DATE:

Request for Offers (RFO) Addendum

RFO Number: RFO0111

Addendum Number: 1

Date of Addendum: 2/18/2016

Original Due Date, Time: 2/29/2016, 4:30 PM CST

Revised Date, Time (if changing): NA

Title: Application Access Controls for MDH systems and data

SCOPE OF ADDENDUM

The following are questions and answers to the RFO:
Is there an Incumbent contractor currently working in this role? No

Is there a possibility for extension beyond the 3 Months term? Yes

How many candidates may each vendor propose for this RFO? This depends upon what the vendor estimates is required staffing to meet the deliverables requested.

We would like to confirm the mode of interview. Whether it will be telephonic, Skype or In –Person. If a vendor is selected for interview we can adjust the media based on the location of the vendor.

Is there an incumbent on this, if yes then please provide incumbent details? No incumbent.
As mentioned on page number 1 of the RFO0111 labor Categories: Program/Project Management, Security. Please confirm for which position you are seeking Resumes as we have different bill rates for both labor category. Project Manager and IT Security Analyst. We are required to use the categories from the SITE contract program so please review you rates within that program.

We have one more query regarding the same requirement. As you have provided required skills and desired skills for security analyst position only. Please provide the same for the Program Manager position too? The skills are for both positions. The positions should also meet the requirements in the SITE contract program for each position category. This is noted in the RFO.

Does the state only need this resource for 3.5 months (mid-March to the end of June)? Or is there a possibility for the resource to be extended on the work order? Possibility of extension.

Will you prefer face to face interviews or phone interviews? Prefer face to face but can accommodate phone interviews.

Are prospective vendors expected to bid two resources i.e., a Program / Project Manager and Security Engineer) or one resource with the ability to meet all requirements outlined in the MN RFO 0111 solicitation document? I'm expecting at least 2 resources with the skills outlined in the RFO and from the SITE contract categories that each SITE vendor needs to comply with.

This addendum shall become part of the RFO and should be returned with, or acknowledged in, the response to the RFO.

RESPONDER NAME:

SIGNATURE:

TITLE:

DATE: